**Peer review sheet**

MAFS6010Z, 2021 fall

**Your name and id:** Yiyuan SHI (20745230)

**Group that you review:** Group 7

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Confidence on your assessment (1-3) | Clarity and quality of writing (1-5) | Technical quality  (1-5) | Overall rating  (1-5) |
| Score | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4.5 |

**Summary:**

They strictly follow the original article and clearly show their final output in a summary table. They clearly presented their idea and work in a poster.

**Strengths:**

* Clear layout.
* Distinct logic.
* The model performance part clearly shows the result for each step, which is great.
* Detailed explanation in each part.

**Weaknesses:**

Knowing that there is a limit in words number in PPT format, but from my point of view, I want to know more about each model they used, including their advantages and disadvantages and more detailed final reason they decided to use the Adam.

**Clarity and writing:**

Really good. Very clear and orderly.

**Technical quality:**

Good. They strictly follow the original article.